APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/656,538	09/06/2000	Michael Lee	INT1P211	9912

21912	7590	06/04/2004	

VAN PELT & YI LLP
10050 N. FOOTHILL BLVD #200
CUPERTINO, CA 95014

EXAMINER
MAURO JR, THOMAS J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2143	

DATE MAILED: 06/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE *3* MONTH(S) FROM
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
  earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on *09 March 2004*.

2a)☒ This action is **FINAL**.   2b)☐ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) *1-7,10-12 and 18-21* is/are pending in the application.

    4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) *1-7,10-12 and 18-21* is/are rejected.

7)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on *09 March 2004* is/are: a)☒ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

    Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

    Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

    a)☐ All   b)☐ Some *   c)☐ None of:

      1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

      2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

      3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

    * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1)☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2)☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3)☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
    Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4)☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
    Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
5)☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6)☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1.   This action is responsive to the amendment (Paper # 8) filed on March 9, 2004. Claims

1-7, 10-12 and 18-21 remain pending. In accordance with the restriction election, claims 8-9 and

13-17 have been cancelled.

2.   Claims 1-7, 10-12 and 18-21 are presented for further examination.

3.   Objection to the drawing has been withdrawn upon having received the new corrected

drawings.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4.   The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

> (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in
> section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are
> such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person
> having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the
> manner in which the invention was made.

5.   Claims 1-7, 10-12 and 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Banavar et al. (U.S. 6,336,119) in view of Calvert et al., entitled "Core Selection Methods

for Multicast Routing".

Regarding claim 1, Banavar teaches a method of multicasting information to a set of clients comprising:

determining a primary client using network location of the client, the network location relating to a data topology **[Banavar -- Col. 5 lines 66-67 and Col. 6 lines 41-44 – Network location data, based upon the current configuration of the network, i.e. topology, is used to configure and group the brokers, i.e. primary clients, into clusters]**;

transmitting the information to a primary client from a server **[Banavar -- Figures 1 and 4A and Col. 3 lines 7-12 – Brokers, i.e. primary clients, receive information from central source, i.e. publishing broker (Col. 2 lines 54-55)]**; and

instructing the primary client to forward the information to a secondary client **[Banavar -- Figures 1 and 4A and Col. 3 lines 13-15 – Brokers forward the information to their consumers]**.

Banavar fails to teach additionally using performance information to determine a primary client. Calvert, however, teaches selecting a primary client, i.e. a broker, based upon performance criteria **[Calvert -- Page 640, Section 3.5, paragraph 2 – Core node, i.e. primary client, is chosen using a performance based method]**.

In addition, Calvert and Banavar discloses that it would be advantageous use the relationship between performance and topology to make better core choices **[Calvert -- Page 640, Section 3.3, paragraph 1] [Banavar -- Col. 5 lines 61-62]**.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the use of performance information in determining a primary client, as taught by Calvert into the invention of Banavar, in order to achieve a fast performing

multicasting system which routes the packets of information to all nodes in a short amount of time.

Regarding claim 2, Banavar-Calvert teach the invention substantially as claimed, as aforementioned in claim 1 above, including receiving performance information from the set of clients [**Calvert -- Page 640, Sec. 3.4, Paragraph 2 – In order to know the performance of nodes, the system must receive performance information, i.e. bandwidth and delay, from each of the nodes**].

Regarding claim 3, Banavar-Calvert teach the invention substantially as claimed, as aforementioned in claim 1 above, including pinging clients to determine the network location of the clients [**Banavar -- Col. 5 lines 66-67 – Col. 6 lines 41-44 – Banavar teaches using network location to group clients together. In order to determine the network location, it is required for the server to ping the clients and use that address to determine the client's location on the network. Therefore, this limitation is implicitly taught**].

Regarding claim 4, Banavar-Calvert teach the invention substantially as claimed, as aforementioned in claim 1 above, wherein the information is streaming video [**Calvert -- Page 638, Sec 2.2 – Videoconference applications, i.e. streaming video**]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include streaming video as the multicast data as taught by Calvert, into the invention of Banavar, in order to efficiently send out data which normally would take up a lot of bandwidth and time.

Regarding claim 5, Banavar teaches a method of multicasting information to a set of clients comprising:

selecting a subset of the clients to be primary clients based on the network location relating to a data topology **[Banavar -- Col. 5 lines 66-67 and Col. 6 lines 41-44 – Network location data, based upon the current configuration of the network, i.e. topology, is used to determine and furthermore to configure and group the brokers, i.e. primary clients, into clusters]**; and

transmitting the information to the primary clients for retransmission to other clients in the set of clients **[Banavar -- Figures 1 and 4A and Col. 3 lines 7-15 – Brokers, i.e. primary clients, receive information from central source, i.e. publishing broker (Col. 2 lines 54-55) and forward the information to their consumers, i.e. clients]**.

Banavar fails to explicitly teach determining a performance parameter for each of the clients and selecting a subset of the clients to be primary clients based upon performance information. Calvert, however, teaches determining a performance parameter for each of the clients **[Calvert - - Page 640, Sec. 3.4, Paragraph 2 – In order to know the performance of nodes, the system must receive performance information, i.e. bandwidth and delay, from each of the nodes]** and selecting a subset of the clients to be primary clients based upon the performance information **[Calvert -- Page 640, Sec. 3.5, Paragraph 2 – Core node, i.e. primary client, is chosen using a performance based method]**.

In addition, Calvert and Banavar disclose that it would be advantageous use the relationship between performance and topology to make better core choices **[Calvert -- Page 640, Section 3.3, paragraph 1] [Banavar -- Col. 5 lines 61-62]**.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

was made to incorporate determining performance parameters for the clients and using

performance information in selecting a subset of clients to be primary clients, as taught by

Calvert into the invention of Banavar, in order to achieve a fast performing multicasting system

which routes the packets of information to all nodes in a short amount of time.

Regarding claim 6, Banavar teaches a method of multicasting information to a set of

clients comprising:

determining a network location for each of the clients; selecting a subset of the clients to

be primary clients based on the network location **[Banavar -- Col. 5 lines 66-67 – Col. 6 lines 1-**

3 – In order to group brokers into clusters by network location, it is required that the

location be determined, i.e. pinging the clients];

determining a primary client using the network location of each of the clients, the

network location relating to a data topology **[Banavar -- Col. 5 lines 66-67 and Col. 6 lines 41-**

44 – Network location data, based upon the current configuration of the network, i.e.

topology, is used to configure and group the brokers, i.e. primary clients, into clusters]; and

transmitting the information to the primary clients for retransmission to other clients in

the set of clients **[Banavar -- Figures 1 and 4A and Col. 3 lines 7-15 – Brokers, i.e. primary**

clients, receive information from a central source, i.e. publishing broker (Col. 2 lines 54-55)

and forward the information to their consumers, i.e. clients].

Banavar fails to explicitly teach determining a primary client using performance information and

selecting a subset of clients to be primary clients based on performance information.

Calvert, however, teaches selecting a primary client, i.e. a broker, based upon performance

criteria **[Calvert -- Page 640, Section 3.5, paragraph 2 – Core node, i.e. primary client, is**

chosen using a performance based method] and selecting a subset of the clients to be primary

clients based upon the performance information **[Calvert -- Page 640, Sec. 3.5, Paragraph 2 –**

Core node, i.e. primary client, is chosen using a performance based method].

In addition, Calvert and Banavar disclose that it would be advantageous use the relationship

between performance and topology to make better core choices **[Calvert -- Page 640, Section**

3.3, paragraph 1] [Banavar -- Col. 5 lines 61-62].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

was made to incorporate determining a primary client using performance information and

selecting a subset based upon performance information, as taught by Calvert into the invention of

Banavar, in order to achieve a fast performing multicasting system which routes the packets of

information to all nodes in a short amount of time.

Regarding claim 7, Banavar teaches a method of multicasting information to a set of

clients comprising:

determining a network location for each of the clients **[Banavar -- Col. 5 lines 66-67 –**

Col. 6 lines 1-3 – In order to group brokers into clusters by network location, it is required

that the location be determined, i.e. pinging the clients];

selecting a subset of the clients to be primary clients based on the network location, the

network location relating to a data topology **[Banavar -- Col. 5 lines 66-67 and Col. 6 lines 41-**

44 – Network location data, based upon the current configuration of the network, i.e.

topology, is used to determine and furthermore to configure and group the brokers, i.e.

primary clients, into clusters]; and

transmitting the information to the primary clients for retransmission to other clients in

the set of clients **[Banavar -- Figures 1 and 4A and Col. 3 lines 7-15 – Brokers, i.e. primary**

clients, receive information from central source, i.e. publishing broker (Col. 2 lines 54-55)

and forward the information to their consumers, i.e. clients].

Banavar fails to explicitly teach determining a performance parameter for each of the clients and

selecting a subset of the clients to be primary clients based on the performance parameter.

Calvert, however, teaches determining a performance parameter for each of the clients **[Calvert -**

- Page 640, Sec. 3.4, Paragraph 2 – In order to know the performance of nodes, the system

must receive performance information, i.e. bandwidth and delay, from each of the nodes]

and selecting a subset of the clients to be primary clients based on the performance parameter

[Calvert -- Page 640, Sec. 3.5, Paragraph 2 – Core node, i.e. primary client, is chosen using

a performance based method].

In addition, Calvert and Banavar disclose that it would be advantageous use the relationship

between performance and topology to make better core choices **[Calvert -- Page 640, Section**

3.3, paragraph 1] [Banavar -- Col. 5 lines 61-62].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

was made to incorporate determining performance parameters for the clients and using

performance information in selecting a subset of clients to be primary clients, as taught by

Calvert into the invention of Banavar, in order to achieve a fast performing multicasting system

which routes the packets of information to all nodes in a short amount of time.

Regarding claim 10, Banavar teaches a method of forwarding information from a

transmitting client to a receiving client in a multicast group comprising:

receiving an instruction from a multicast server to forward information to the receiving

client **[Banavar -- Col. 2 lines 54-57 – Multicast server, i.e. publishing broker, sends**

instruction, i.e. event, to subscriber broker to forward information on to subscribing

clients] including a network location for the transmitting client and the receiving client, the

network location relating to a data topology **[Banavar -- Col. 5 lines 66-67 – Col. 6 lines 1-3**

and lines 41-44 – In order to group brokers into clusters by network location, it is required

that the location be determined, i.e. pinging the clients. Network location data, based upon

the current configuration of the network, i.e. topology, is used to configure and group the

brokers, i.e. primary clients, into clusters];

receiving the information **[Banavar -- Col. 2 line 56]**; and

forwarding the information to the receiving client **[Banavar -- Col. 2 lines 58-59]**.

Banavar fails to explicitly teach receiving performance information for determining a

performance parameter.

Calvert, however, teaches receiving performance information for determining a performance

parameter **[Calvert -- Page 640, Sec. 3.4, Paragraph 2 – In order to know the performance of**

nodes, the system must receive performance information, i.e. bandwidth and delay, from each of the nodes].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the receiving of performance information for determining performance parameters, as taught by Calvert into the invention of Banavar, in order to provide information to achieve a fast performing multicasting system which routes the packets of information to all nodes in a short amount of time.

Regarding claim 11, Banavar-Calvert teach the invention substantially as claimed, as aforementioned in claim 10 above, including displaying the information to a user **[Banavar -- Col. 2 lines 58-59 – Clients subscribe to the information in order to watch/view the future information in which they have interest. Therefore, the reference implicitly teaches that the information is displayed to the client].**

Regarding claim 12, Banavar-Calvert teach the invention substantially as claimed, as aforementioned in claim 10 above, including displaying the information to a user without positively indicating to the user that the information is being forwarded **[Banavar -- Col. 3 lines 13-15 and Col. 6 lines 41-44 and 61-63 – Because only brokers are needed for configuring, it is implicitly taught that the forwarding of events is transparent to the clients; therefore, they do not positively know what is going on in the background, i.e. forwarding of messages].**

Regarding claim 18, Banavar teaches a client interface configured to transmit the

information to a primary client from the server and to instruct the primary client to forward the

information to a secondary client **[Banavar -- Col. 3 lines 16-27 – Publisher broker's**

interface, i.e. program, transmits information to subscriber brokers, i.e. primary clients,

which forward information on to it's clients, i.e. secondary clients]; and

logic for determining a primary client using network location of the client, the network location

relating to a data topology **[Banavar -- Col. 5 lines 66-67 and Col. 6 lines 41-44 – Network**

location data, based upon the current configuration of the network, i.e. topology, is used to

configure and group the brokers, i.e. primary clients, into clusters].

Banavar fails to explicitly teach determining a primary client using performance information.

Calvert, however, teaches selecting a primary client, i.e. a broker, based upon performance

criteria **[Calvert -- Page 640, Section 3.5, paragraph 2 – Core node, i.e. primary client, is**

chosen using a performance based method].

In addition, Calvert and Banavar disclose that it would be advantageous use the relationship

between performance and topology to make better core choices **[Calvert -- Page 640, Section**

3.3, paragraph 1] [Banavar -- Col. 5 lines 61-62].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

was made to incorporate the use of performance information in determining a primary client, as

taught by Calvert into the invention of Banavar, in order to achieve a fast performing

multicasting system which routes the packets of information to all nodes in a short amount of

time.

Regarding claim 19, Banavar teaches a client configured to forward multicast information to another client comprising:

a server interface configured to receive the information from the server **[Banavar -- Col. 2 lines 54-56 – Subscribing broker receives message, i.e. event, from publishing broker, i.e. server]** and to exchange with the server control and network location data relating to a data topology **[Banavar -- Col. 5 lines 66-67 and Col. 6 lines 41-44 – Network location data, based upon the current configuration of the network, i.e. topology, is used to configure and group the brokers, i.e. primary clients, into clusters. Control information directs the nodes to function as subscribers or brokers. Therefore, because this is used to configure system, information must be exchanged with publishing broker, i.e. server, to properly configure the system]**; and

a client interface configured to forward the information to another client **[Banavar -- Col. 2 lines 58-59]**.

Banavar fails to explicitly teach exchanging with the server, performance information. Calvert, however, teaches sending performance information for determining performance parameters **[Calvert -- Page 640, Sec. 3.4, Paragraph 2 – In order to know the performance of nodes, the system must receive performance information, i.e. bandwidth and delay, from each of the nodes]**.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate exchanging performance information with the server, as taught by Calvert into the invention of Banavar, in order to provide information to achieve a fast performing multicasting system which routes the packets of information to all nodes in a short amount of time.

Regarding claim 20, this is a system claim corresponding to the method claimed in claim

1. It has similar limitations; therefore, claim 20 is rejected based upon the same rationale.

Regarding claim 21, Banavar teaches a computer program product for multicasting

information, the computer program product being embodied in a computer readable medium and

comprising computer instructions **[Banavar -- Col. 3 lines 16-21]**. The remaining limitations of

claim 21 are similar to the limitations set forth in the method of claim 1. Therefore, claim 21 is

rejected under the same rationale.

6.   Claims 1-7, 10-12 and 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Banavar et al. (U.S. 6,336,119) in view of Chen et al. (U.S. 5,831,975).

Regarding claim 1, Banavar teaches a method of multicasting information to a set of

clients comprising:

transmitting the information to a primary client from a server **[Banavar -- Figures 1 and**

4A and Col. 3 lines 7-12 – Brokers, i.e. primary clients, receive information from central

source, i.e. publishing broker (Col. 2 lines 54-55)]; and

instructing the primary client to forward the information to a secondary client **[Banavar -**

- Figures 1 and 4A and Col. 3 lines 13-15 – Brokers forward the information to their

consumers].

Banavar fails to teach determining a primary client using performance information and a network location of the client.

Chen, however, teaches selecting a core node, i.e. primary client, based upon bandwidth, i.e. performance, information and network location or topology, i.e. border nodes **[Chen -- Col. 8 lines 15-28]**.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the use of performance information and network location in determining a primary client, i.e. core node, as taught by Chen into the invention of Banavar, in order to achieve an efficient and fast multicast tree **[Chen -- Col. 8 line 18]** which can guarantee some quality-of-service (QoS) **[Chen -- Col. 6 lines 47-49]**.

Regarding claim 2, Banavar-Chen teach the invention substantially as claimed, as aforementioned in claim 1 above, including receiving performance information from the set of clients **[Chen -- Col. 8 lines 23-25 – In order to choose a core node with sufficient bandwidth, i.e. performance information, the system must receive performance information from each of the nodes]**.

Regarding claim 3, Banavar-Chen teach the invention substantially as claimed, as aforementioned in claim 1 above, including pinging clients to determine the network location of the clients **[Chen -- Col. 8 lines 16-22 – In order to determine which nodes are border nodes, it is required for the server to ping the clients and use that address to determine the client's**

location on the network, for example, to determine if a node is a border node. Therefore,
this limitation is implicitly taught].

Regarding claim 4, Banavar-Chen teach the invention substantially as claimed, as
aforementioned in claim 1 above, including wherein the information is streaming video [Chen --
Col. 3 lines 25-32 – Video conferencing applications require underlying system to support
multicasting].

Regarding claim 5, Banavar teaches a method of multicasting information to a set of
clients comprising:

transmitting the information to the primary clients for retransmission to other clients in
the set of clients [Banavar -- Figures 1 and 4A and Col. 3 lines 7-15 – Brokers, i.e. primary
clients, receive information from central source, i.e. publishing broker (Col. 2 lines 54-55)
and forward the information to their consumers, i.e. clients].

Banavar fails to explicitly teach determining a performance parameter for each of the clients and
selecting a subset of the clients to be primary clients based upon performance information and
network location.

Chen, however, teaches determining a performance parameter for each of the clients [Chen --
Col. 8 lines 23-25 – In order to choose a core node with sufficient bandwidth, i.e.
performance information, the system must receive performance information from each of
the nodes] and selecting a subset of the clients to be primary clients based upon the performance
information and network location [Chen -- Col. 8 lines 15-28 – Core node is selected by

choosing a node on the border, i.e. network location, and one which has sufficient

bandwidth, i.e. performance information].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made

to incorporate determining performance parameters for the clients and using performance

information to select a subset of nodes to be primary clients based upon performance

information, as taught by Chen into the invention of Banavar, in order to achieve an efficient and

fast multicast tree **[Chen -- Col. 8 line 18]** which can guarantee some quality-of-service (QoS)

[Chen -- Col. 6 lines 47-49].

          Regarding claim 6, Banavar teaches a method of multicasting information to a set of

clients comprising:

          transmitting the information to the primary clients for retransmission to other clients in

the set of clients **[Banavar -- Figures 1 and 4A and Col. 3 lines 7-15 – Brokers, i.e. primary**

clients, receive information from a central source, i.e. publishing broker (Col. 2 lines 54-55)

and forward the information to their consumers, i.e. clients].

Banavar fails to explicitly teach determining a network location for each of the clients,

determining a primary client using performance information and network location and selecting a

subset of clients to be primary clients based on performance and network information.

Chen, however, teaches determining a network location for each of the clients **[Chen -- Col. 8**

lines 16-22 – In order to determine which nodes are border nodes, it is required for the

server to determine the locations of each of the nodes], determining a primary client, i.e. core

node, based upon performance criteria and network location **[Chen -- Col. 8 lines 15-28]** and

selecting a subset of the clients to be primary clients based upon the performance and network

location information **[Chen -- Col. 8 lines 15-28 – Core node is selected by choosing a node**

on the border, i.e. network location, and one which has sufficient bandwidth, i.e.

performance information].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made

to incorporate determining of network location for the clients, determining a primary client using

performance and network information and selecting a subset of the clients to be primary clients,

i.e. core nodes, based on network location and performance, as taught by Chen into the invention

of Banavar, in order to achieve an efficient and fast multicast tree **[Chen -- Col. 8 line 18]** which

can guarantee some quality-of-service (QoS) **[Chen -- Col. 6 lines 47-49]**.

Regarding claim 7, this is a method claim similar to the method claimed in claims 5 and

6. It has similar limitations; therefore, claim 7 is rejected under the same rationale.

Regarding claim 10, Banavar teaches a method of forwarding information from a

transmitting client to a receiving client in a multicast group comprising:

receiving an instruction from a multicast server to forward information to the receiving

client **[Banavar -- Col. 2 lines 54-57 – Multicast server, i.e. publishing broker, sends**

instruction, i.e. event, to subscriber broker to forward information on to subscribing

clients];

receiving the information **[Banavar -- Col. 2 line 56]**; and

forwarding the information to the receiving client **[Banavar -- Col. 2 lines 58-59]**.

Banavar fails to explicitly teach receiving performance information for determining a

performance parameter and network location information relating to the topology.

Chen, however, teaches receiving performance information for determining a performance

parameter and network information relating to the topology of the network **[Chen Col. 8 lines**

15-28 – In order to ascertain the bandwidth to determine if sufficient capabilities exist and

border node information, it is required that performance and network topology

information be received which detail this information about the nodes].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made

to incorporate the receiving of performance information for determining performance parameters

and network information relating to the topology of the network, as taught by Chen into the

invention of Banavar, in order to provide information to achieve an efficient and fast multicast

tree **[Chen -- Col. 8 line 18]** which can guarantee some quality-of-service (QoS) **[Chen -- Col. 6**

lines 47-49].

Regarding claim 11, Banavar-Chen teach the invention substantially as claimed, as

aforementioned in claim 10 above, including displaying the information to a user **[Banavar --**

Col. 2 lines 58-59 – Clients subscribe to the information in order to watch/view the future

information in which they have interest. Therefore, the reference implicitly teaches that

the information is displayed to the client].

Regarding claim 12, Banavar-Chen teach the invention substantially as claimed, as

aforementioned in claim 10 above, including displaying the information to a user without

positively indicating to the user that the information is being forwarded [**Banavar -- Col. 3 lines 13-15 and Col. 6 lines 41-44 and 61-63 – Because only brokers are needed for configuring, it is implicitly taught that the forwarding of events is transparent to the clients; therefore, they do not positively know what is going on in the background, i.e. forwarding of messages**].

Regarding claim 18, Banavar teaches a client interface configured to transmit the information to a primary client from the server and to instruct the primary client to forward the information to a secondary client [**Banavar -- Col. 3 lines 16-27 – Publisher broker's interface, i.e. program, transmits information to subscriber brokers, i.e. primary clients, which forward information on to it's clients, i.e. secondary clients**].

Banavar fails to explicitly teach determining a primary client using performance information and a network location of the client relating to the topology.

Chen, however, teaches selecting a primary client, i.e. core node, based upon performance criteria and network location information [**Chen -- Col. 8 lines 15-28 – Core node is selected by choosing a node on the border, i.e. network location, and one which has sufficient bandwidth, i.e. performance information**].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate determining a primary client, i.e. core node, using performance and network location information, as taught by Calvert into the invention of Chen, in order to achieve an efficient and fast multicast tree [**Chen -- Col. 8 line 18**] which can guarantee some quality-of-service (QoS) [**Chen -- Col. 6 lines 47-49**].

Regarding claim 19, Banavar teaches a client configured to forward multicast information to another client comprising:

a server interface configured to receive the information, i.e. control information, from the server **[Banavar -- Col. 2 lines 54-56 – Subscribing broker receives message, i.e. event, from publishing broker, i.e. server. Control information directs the nodes to function as subscribers or brokers]**; and

a client interface configured to forward the information to the client **[Banavar -- Col. 2 lines 58-59]**.

Banavar fails to explicitly teach exchanging with the server, performance and network location information.

Chen, however, teaches sending performance information for determining performance parameters **[Chen Col. 8 lines 15-28 – In order to know the performance and location of nodes, the system must receive performance information, i.e. bandwidth and network location information, i.e. topology for determining border nodes, from each of the nodes]**.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate exchanging of both performance information and network location information, as taught by Chen into the invention of Banavar, in order to provide information to achieve an efficient and fast multicast tree **[Chen -- Col. 8 line 18]** which can guarantee some quality-of-service (QoS) **[Chen -- Col. 6 lines 47-49]**.

Regarding claim 20, this is a system claim corresponding to the method claimed in claim

1. It has similar limitations; therefore, claim 20 is rejected based upon the same rationale.

Regarding claim 21, Banavar teaches a computer program product for multicasting

information, the computer program product being embodied in a computer readable medium and

comprising computer instructions **[Banavar -- Col. 3 lines 16-21]**. The remaining limitations of

claim 21 are similar to the limitations set forth in the method of claim 1. Therefore, claim 21 is

rejected under the same rationale.

Response to Arguments

7.   Applicant's arguments filed March 9, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive.

(A) Applicant contends that Banavar does not disclose the use of network locations for

determining a primary node, whereas claim 1 calls for this limitation.

In response to argument A, Examiner asserts that Banavar discloses using information

relating to geographic location *or* network location data for grouping broker nodes into clusters,

thereby choosing a primary client, i.e. broker, for each cluster **[Banavar -- Col. 5 lines 66-67]**.

Therefore, Banavar, in fact, allows for two ways of choosing cluster assignment, either by

geographic location or network location, as claim 1 recites. Furthermore Col. 6 lines 41-47

discloses configuring the system for the cluster group multicast (CGM) which takes into

consideration any network changes, i.e. topology changes, for readjusting any of the clusters

from the initial, i.e. static, configuration. In addition, see the rejection above for further

explanation. Therefore, the Examiner accordingly demurs to this assertion because Banavar does

in fact disclose using network location information for cluster assignment.

(B) Applicant contends that Calvert teaches away from the claimed invention and does

not disclose or suggest using performance information and network location.

In response to argument B, Examiner asserts that Calvert does not teach away from the

claimed and in addition does suggest using performance and network information for choice of

core nodes. Calvert is concerned with determining the optimal core selection based upon various

parameters and performance information of a network. See Page 638, Abstract and Section 1

Introduction. In addition, regardless of whether Calvert deals with group selection techniques or

network techniques, he still is concerned with the network in selecting a core node. Furthermore,

Calvert suggests using performance information *and* topology of the network. See Page 640,

section 3.3 paragraph 1. He explicitly suggests that analyzing the performance information and

topology of the network will allow for better core choices. Please see rejection above for further

explanation. Therefore, the Examiner accordingly demurs to any assertion that Calvert teaches

away from the invention or that he does not suggestion core selection based on performance and

topology because Calvert does in fact disclose this information as shown above.

Conclusion

8.   Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas J. Mauro Jr. whose telephone number is 703-605-1234. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00a.m. - 4:30p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A. Wiley can be reached on 703-308-5221. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TJM
May 25, 2004

DAVID WILEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100